

- Using Sterling's approximation ($\ln x! \approx x \ln x$), we can write the Gibb's free energy of creating n imperfections to a crystal of N atoms as:

$$G(n) = G(0) + nH_p - nT\Delta S_{th} - k_b T \{ (N+n) \ln(N+n) - N \ln N - n \ln n \}$$

The minimum Gibb's free energy is found by equating the first differential to zero:

$$\frac{\partial G(n)}{\partial n} = H_p - T\Delta S_{th} - k_b T \{ \ln(N+n) - \ln n \} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \ln\left(\frac{n}{n+N}\right) = -\frac{H_p}{k_b T} + \frac{\Delta S_{th}}{k_b}$$

For $n \ll N$,

$$\frac{n}{N} = \exp\left(-\frac{H_p}{k_b T}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S_{th}}{k_b}\right).$$

- We define conformation A to be the conformation in which the three residues are buried in the interior of the protein, and conformation B to be the one in which the three residues are exposed to the solvent. The free energy of transfer, ΔG_t , for Ala, Leu, and Phe are +0.73, +2.42, and +2.65 kcal/mole respectively. The sum of these free energy changes is $\Delta G_{AB} = +5.80$ kcal/mole and this is, to a first approximation, the free energy change associated with the transition from A to B in water. The equilibrium constant is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_a &= \frac{k_{A \rightarrow B}}{k_{B \rightarrow A}} = \frac{[B]}{[A]} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{AB}}{RT}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{5.80 \text{ kcal/mol}}{(0.001985 \text{ kcal/mol} \cdot \text{K})(298.15 \text{ K})}\right) \\ &= 5.57 \times 10^{-5} \quad \text{or} \\ K_d &= \frac{k_{B \rightarrow A}}{k_{A \rightarrow B}} = \frac{[A]}{[B]} = 1.794 \times 10^4 \end{aligned}$$

As we are discussing a unimolecular reaction, the definition of association and dissociation constant is arbitrary. What is important is that the conformation [A] is more stable than conformation [B].

The free energies of transfer from water to Urea are -0.07, -0.38, and -0.70 kcal/mole for Ala, Leu, and Phe, respectively. Thus, when the transfers are made the ethanol like environment of the protein interior to urea, ΔG_{AB} is *reduced* by 1.15 kcal/mol with respect to water.

$$K_a = \exp \left(- \frac{(5.80 - 1.15) \text{ kcal/mol}}{(0.001985 \text{ kcal/mol} \cdot \text{K})(298.15 \text{ K})} \right)$$

$$= 3.89 \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{or}$$

$$K_d = 2.57 \times 10^3$$

3. First, determine k_{on} assuming a diffusion limited rate constant:

$$k_{on} = 4\pi r_0(D_A + D_B)N_0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$$

$$= 4\pi(30 \times 10^{-8})(90 \times 10^{-8})N_0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$$

$$= 2.04 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$$

From the equilibrium constant, the off rate is given by:

$$k_{off} = k_{on}K_d = (2.04 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1})(5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ M}) = 1.02 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

$$\text{or} \quad \frac{1}{k_{off}} = 27.2 \text{ hrs.}$$

For a dissociation constant $K_d = 5 \text{ nM}$ and assuming a diffusion limited on rate,

$$k_{off} = k_{on}K_d = (2.04 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1})(5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}) = 10.2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ or } \frac{1}{k_{off}} = 0.098 \text{ s}$$

4. Starting from transition state theory, reaction kinetics is described in terms of an activated complex, which is populated with a probability

$$\exp \left(- \frac{\Delta G^\ddagger}{RT} \right)$$

and an attempt frequency ν . In general,

$$k = \frac{1}{\tau} = \nu \exp \left(- \frac{\Delta G^\ddagger}{RT} \right)$$

To relate this expression to the single molecule folding measurements, the probability of being

in the activated state is given by $\exp \left(- \frac{\Delta}{k_B T} \right)$

where $\Delta = \Delta G^\ddagger/N_0$ (and N_0 is Avogadro's number). The vibrational frequency at the bottom of the unfolded state is proportional to the reconfiguration time of the unfolded polymer, τ_0 . We approximate ν_{\min} as: $\nu_{\min} \approx 1/(2\pi\tau_0)$. The attempt frequency of the *activated* complex is given by

$$\nu_{\max} = \frac{\omega_{\max}}{\omega_{\min}} \nu_{\min},$$

where $\omega_{\max}/\omega_{\min}$ is the ratio of the vibration frequencies in the activated state to that in the bottom of the unfolded state. Hence,

$$k = \frac{\omega_{\max}}{2\pi\omega_{\min}\tau_0} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta}{k_B T}\right) = \frac{1}{\tau_f}$$

5. For the given reaction, the rate law gives:

$$V = k_2[X_1] + k_2'[X_2]$$

Under steady state conditions,

$$\frac{[E][S]}{[X_1]} = K_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{[E][S]}{[X_2]} = K_1'.$$

The can be rearranged as:

$$[E] = K_1 \frac{[X_1]}{[S]} = K_1' \frac{[X_2]}{[S]}$$

$$\text{Thus, } [X_2] = \frac{K_1}{K'} [X_1]$$

Writing $[E]$ in terms of the total enzyme concentration minus what is bound to substrate in the intermediate states, we have:

$$[E]_0 - [X_1] - [X_2] = K_1 \frac{[X_1]}{[S]}$$

$$[E]_0 - [X_1] - \frac{K_1}{K'} [X_1] = K_1 \frac{[X_1]}{[S]}$$

Solving for $[X_1]$ yields:

$$[E]_0 = [X_1] \left(1 + \frac{K_1}{K'} + \frac{K_1}{[S]} \right)$$

$$[X_1] = \frac{[E]_0}{\left(1 + \frac{K_1}{K'} + \frac{K_1}{[S]} \right)}$$

Similarly, one can solve for $[X_2]$.

Substituting for $[X_1]$ and $[X_2]$ in the velocity relationship, we have:

$$V = \frac{k_2 [E]_0}{(1 + K_1/[S] + K_1/K_1')} + \frac{k_2' [E]_0}{(1 + K_1'/[S] + K_1'/K_1)}$$

We wish to see if we can rewrite this equation in terms of the solution for the second chemical equation, where the reaction law yields:

$$V = \frac{V_s}{(1 + K_s/[S]_0)} \quad .$$

To see if it is possible to reform the equation, we first solve for V_{\max} by letting $[S]$ go to ∞ .

$$\begin{aligned} V|_{[S] \rightarrow \infty} = V_{\max} &= \frac{k_2 [E]_0}{(1 + K_1/K_1')} + \frac{k_2' [E]_0}{(1 + K_1'/K_1)} \\ &= \frac{(K_1' k_2 + K_1 k_2') [E]_0}{(K_1 + K_1')} \end{aligned}$$

Using the above expression for V_{\max} , we can rewrite the equation for V as:

$$V = \frac{V_{\max}}{\left(1 + \frac{K_1 K_1'}{K_1 + K_1'} \cdot \frac{1}{[S]_0}\right)} \quad .$$

Hence, it is not possible from the kinetics to distinguish the two types of reactions.

$$6. \quad \frac{dI}{I} = \frac{-P \cdot \pi \cdot r^2 \cdot c \cdot N_A}{1000} \cdot dl = \frac{-\sigma \cdot c \cdot N_A}{1000} \cdot dl$$

$$\text{Integration over a finite path-length } l \text{ gives: } \ln\left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) = \frac{-\sigma \cdot c \cdot N_A}{1000} \cdot l$$

$$\text{Using Lambert-Beer's Law we get: } A = -\lg\left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) = \frac{-\ln\left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right)}{2.303} = \epsilon \cdot c \cdot l$$

$$\text{Hence: } \frac{\sigma \cdot c \cdot N_A}{1000 \cdot 2.303} \cdot l = \epsilon \cdot c \cdot l$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \epsilon = \frac{\sigma \cdot N_A}{2303}$$

$$\text{b) Extinction coefficient: } \epsilon = \frac{6.537 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot 6.022 \cdot 10^{23} \frac{1}{\text{mol}}}{2303} = 17093 \frac{1}{\text{M cm}}$$

$$\text{Absorbance of a } 7 \mu\text{M solution: } A = \epsilon \cdot c \cdot l = 17093 \frac{1}{\text{M cm}} \cdot 7 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ M} \cdot 1 \text{ cm} = 0.120$$